1. Introduction
With the continually growing need for natural gas, there is now considerable market demand for higher strength natural gas line pipes. Constructing high-pressure pipelines using ultrahigh-strength steel pipes allows gas companies to reduce the total cost of transportation of natural gas. Japanese steel pipe manufacturers have successfully developed ultrahigh-strength steel pipes, such as X100 and X120, in response to market demand.\(^{1)}\)

But before steel pipes can be used for trunk pipelines, it is essential that their structural reliability be verified. In particular, to prevent a major disaster caused by a dynamic ductile fracture in steel pipes, their performance must be clearly identified before they are put into use. However, the results of studies in recent years show that crack arrestability of ultrahigh-strength steel pipes can hardly be evaluated accurately through conventional techniques, and that the only solution to this particular problem is to verify crack arrestability through a full-scale burst test.

A full-scale burst test using ultrahigh-strength steel pipes X100 or X120 has frequently been carried out in recent years to verify their crack arrestability.\(^{2-8)}\) However, none of the steel pipes tested clearly showed adequate crack arrestability. Therefore, it is reported that using a crack arrester is indispensable when employing an ultrahigh-strength steel pipe for a trunk pipeline, since depending only on the crack arrestability of any ultrahigh-strength steel pipe is insufficient.

2. Dynamic Ductile Fracture in Pipelines
Figure 1 schematically shows a dynamic ductile fracture in a pipeline. Natural gas pipelines are known to be susceptible to dynamic ductile fractures (a fracture whereby a crack can propagate over a long distance with speeds as high as 100 to 400 m/s). In order to elucidate this phenomenon, it is necessary to accurately estimate the gas decompression curve, which expresses the relationship between the pressure inside the pipe and the traveling speed of decompression gas waves, and to also accurately estimate the crack velocity curve, which expresses the relationship between the pressure at...
3. High-Accuracy Estimation of Gas Decompression Curves

When the end of a steel pipe having a semi-infinite length is made open, the leading edge of a decompression wave travels through the pipe at the speed of sound. On the other hand, the traveling speed at the 1/2 level of the initial pressure is much lower, and about 1/3 of the initial pressure is maintained at the pipe end. In order to estimate a gas decompression curve, it is necessary to solve the following difference equations by assuming that $P$, $\alpha$, $\rho$, and $u$ denote the pressure, the speed of the decompression wave, respectively.

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial P} = \frac{1}{P^2}\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}
\]

(2)

\[
w = \alpha - u
\]

(3)

In actual numerical calculations, it is possible to apply the following difference equations by assuming that $\alpha = a$, and $u = 0$ under initial condition $P = P_1$ (fracture initiating pressure), and that $P_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1}$ and $P_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1}$ ($P_{n+1} > P_{n+1}$) are consecutive points along the isentropic line from the initial condition.\(^\text{13,14}\)

\[
\left(a_{n+1}\right)^2 = \frac{P_n - P_{n+1}}{P_{n+1} - P_{n+1}}
\]

(4)

\[
u_{n+1} = u_n + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{P_n - P_{n+1}}{P_{n+1} - P_{n+1}} \times \left(\frac{1}{P_n} + \frac{1}{P_{n+1}}\right)
\]

(5)

\[
w_{n+1} = a_{n+1} - u_{n+1}
\]

(6)

When applying the above equations (4) – (6) it is necessary to accurately estimate the physical properties of the gas along the isentropic line. By solving the following BWRS state equation,\(^\text{14,15}\) we accurately estimated gas decompression curves for a multicomponent natural gas.

\[
p = \rho_{mol} R g T + \left[Bg R g T - A_0 - \frac{C_0}{T^2} + \frac{D_0}{T^3} - \frac{E_0}{T^4}\right] \rho_{mol}^2
\]

\[
+ \left[b g R g T - a_0 - \frac{d a}{T}\right] \rho_{mol}^3 + \alpha \left(a_0 + \frac{d a}{T}\right) \rho_{mol}^6
\]

\[
+ \frac{c_0 \rho_{mol}^4}{T^2} \left(1 + \gamma \rho_{mol}^2\right) \exp \left(-\gamma \rho_{mol}^2\right)
\]

(7)

Where, $p$ denotes pressure; $\rho_{mol}$ mol density; $R_g$ gas constant; and $T$, absolute temperature. $A_0$, $B_0$, $C_0$, $D_0$, $E_0$, $\alpha$, $\gamma$, $a_0$, $b_0$, $c_0$, and $d_0$ are material constants.

Figure 2 shows examples of gas decompression curves analyzed using the above technique.

4. High-Accuracy Estimation of Crack Velocity Curves

We analyzed recently publicized results of full-scale burst tests of ultrahigh-strength X100/X120 steel pipes\(^\text{16-21}\) and, at the same time, examined the results of tests carried out using conventional grades of steel pipes.\(^\text{16-21}\) It was found that: (1) Even in high-pressure regions for which an ultrahigh-strength steel pipe is used, the accuracy of gas decompression curve estimates is relatively high, (2) As an indicator of the material resistance for high-toughness steel pipe, the DWTT energy is superior to the Charpy energy, and (3) The accuracy of crack velocity estimates using the Battelle formula or the HLP formula evidently depends on the size of the steel pipe.

On the basis of the above findings, the author and collaborators established the new formula (NNSMC’s crack velocity curve) shown below.\(^\text{22}\)

\[
V_c = \alpha \times \frac{\sigma_{f,m}}{\sqrt{R}} \times \left(\frac{P}{P_0}\right)^\beta
\]

(8)

\[
P_a = \gamma \times 0.380 \times \frac{1}{D} \times \sigma_{f,m} \times \cos^{-1} \exp \left(-4.57 \times 10^7 \times \frac{R}{\sigma_{f,m}^2}\right)
\]

(9)

\[
\alpha = 0.670 \times \left(\frac{D}{D_{0,1}}\right)^{1/4}
\]

(10)

\[
\beta = 0.393 \times \left(\frac{D}{D_{0,1}}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{-1/2}
\]

(11)
\[ \gamma = \frac{3.42}{3.22 + 0.20 \times \left( \frac{f}{D} \frac{D_0}{D_0} \right)^3} \]  

(12)

\[ D_0 = 1219.2 \text{ (mm)} \]  

(13)

\[ t_0 = 18.3 \text{ (mm)} \]  

(14)

\[ \sigma_{f0} = \frac{\sigma_Y + \sigma_T}{2} \]  

(15)

\[ R = D_p(\text{est}) / A_p \]  

(16)

\[ D_p(\text{est}) = 3.29 \times t^{1.5} \times C_v^{0.544} \]  

(17)

Where, \( V_c \) represents crack velocity (m/s); \( \sigma_{f0} \), flow stress (MPa); \( R \), material resistance (Joules/mm); \( P_{cr} \), crack tip pressure (MPa); \( P_0 \), crack arrest pressure (MPa); \( t \), pipe wall thickness (mm); \( D \), pipe diameter (mm); \( \sigma \), yield stress (MPa); \( \sigma_T \), tensile strength (MPa); \( D_p(\text{est}) \), estimated value of pre-crack DWTT energy (Joules); \( A_p \), ligament area of pre-crack DWTT energy (mm\(^2\)); and \( C_v \), full-size Charpy energy (Joules).

Figure 3 compares estimation accuracy when each of the three crack velocity formulas is used. It can be seen that the accuracy of the newly-established crack velocity formula is far superior to the conventional ones.

5. Simulations of Crack Propagation in a Dynamic Ductile Fracture

The phenomenon called dynamic ductile fracture in a natural gas pipeline is governed by the mutual interference between gas decompression velocity \( V_m \) in the fracture process and crack velocity \( V_c \). If \( V_m \) and \( V_c \) can be given as functions of pressure \( P \), the relationships expressed by equations (18) and (19) hold true under the following assumptions.

1. The pressure at the crack tip is given as the pressure level of the compression wave that starts propagating at the same time the fracture occurs.
2. The crack velocity is governed by the pressure at the crack tip.

\[ V_m = \frac{L}{T} \]  

(18)

\[ \frac{dV_c}{dP} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dV_c}{dT} \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{dV_c}{dT} \]  

(19)

Equation (19) shows that the change in crack velocity can be calculated from \( V_m \) and \( V_c \). Crack length can be calculated by the following equation.

\[ L = L_0 + \int_{t_0}^{T} V_c \, dT \]  

(20)

Given the functions, \( P(V_m) \) (or \( V_m(P) \)) and \( V_c(P) \), and the initial values, \( (L_0, T_0) \), it is possible to estimate crack velocity and crack length using equations (18)–(20). Figure 4 shows the flowchart of a crack propagation simulation model using the above technique. We simulated crack propagations in dynamic ductile fractures using the gas decompression curve, \( P(V_m) \), calculated by the method described in Chapter 3, and the crack velocity curve, \( V_c(P) \), calculated by the method described in Chapter 4, together with the technique shown in Fig. 4.

6. Knowledge Obtained by Crack Propagation Simulations

Figure 5 shows an example of an evaluation of crack propagation by simulation. It is based on a full-scale burst test called the “1st DemoPipe Test,” in which a crack is propagated along the entire length of the X100 steel pipe tested. The actual pipe toughness ar-
rangement in the test is shown in Fig. 5 (b), and the measured and calculated crack propagation behaviors are compared in Fig. 5 (c). It can be seen that the simulation results agree well with the measurement results. The pipe toughness arrangement was varied to evaluate its influence on crack arrest behavior. The evaluation results are shown in Fig. 5 (d) and (e).

In all full-scale burst tests conducted in the past, the testers adopted a toughness arrangement whereby the pipe toughness gradually increased from the center to each end (the increasing toughness arrangement) and evaluated the pipe toughness that would be required to arrest dynamic ductile fracture in terms of the energy of pipe where the crack propagation arrested (the arrest energy). On the other hand, we obtained the following knowledge from results of their own simulations of crack propagation.

1. The arrest energy is influenced by the pipe toughness arrangement of the test, that is, the crack propagation hysteresis.
2. With the conventional increasing toughness arrangement, crack arrestability of the pipe body tested tends to be underestimated.
3. By carrying out a test with a flat-type toughness arrangement under appropriate test conditions, it should be possible to prove that even an ultrahigh-strength X100 steel pipe has sufficient crack arrestability.

7. Demonstration of Crack Arrestability in X100 Steel Pipe

On the basis of the knowledge obtained from the results of their own crack propagation simulations, we planned a full-scale burst test of X100 with the flat-type toughness arrangement for the first time in the world. The test was carried out at the CSM test site on the Italian island of Sardinia in March 2008. The test pressure was about 22 MPa, equivalent to 76.8% SMYS (Specified Minimum Yield Stress), which was among the highest test pressures ever used before. The most striking characteristic of the above-mentioned test was that it used the flat-type toughness arrangement, which had never been used in the past. Photo 1 shows appearances of the pipe after the test.

Figure 6 compares measured and predicted crack propagation behaviors. As shown in Fig. 6 (c), the crack propagation behavior observed in the test agrees very well with the simulation results. In addition, in the above-mentioned full-scale burst test of X100 steel pipe, good crack arrestability at both ends was clearly observed for the first time in the world. Thus, the author and collaborators successfully demonstrated that (1) the arrest energy is significantly influenced by the pipe toughness arrangement of the test, and (2) ultrahigh-strength X100 steel pipe has sufficient crack arrestability.

The above test results indicate that even with ultrahigh-strength X100 steel pipe, it should be possible to construct a pipeline displaying sufficient crack arrestability without any crack arrester. It is expected that these findings will greatly promote the development of trunk pipelines using ultrahigh-strength steel pipe in the near future.

8. Development of Technology for Evaluating the 3D Fracture Process

During studies on dynamic ductile fracture, full-scale burst tests and partial gas burst tests have been carried out to evaluate the crack arrestability of steel pipes. In those tests, changes in pipe internal pressure and crack propagation velocities are measured to study gas...
decompression behavior and crack arrest behavior. However, the three-dimensional process of fracture in a cracked steel pipe has very seldom been evaluated directly. This is owing to (1) the difficulty involved in directly observing the phenomenon of dynamic ductile fracture that propagates at speeds as high as several hundred meters per second and (2) the difficulty involved in directly resolving, using computational dynamics, the three-dimensional problem of interaction between steel pipe deformation and internal fluid outflow.

However, we have pressed ahead proactively with the development of a technique for evaluating that three-dimensional fracture process, in the belief that this will help clarify fracture mechanisms and establish a next-generation evaluation technique that is more accurate and has a wider scope of application. In recent years, they achieved a measure of success in this particular field, too, as described below.

As mentioned above, dynamic ductile fracture is a phenomenon whereby a fracture propagates several hundred meters per second. Such a three-dimensional fracture process had never been directly observed in the past. We recently succeeded in direct observation of that process using a high-speed camera installed as part of their test equipment. **Photo 2** shows examples of photographic images of the process. The photographic system has made it possible to directly observe the three-dimensional steel pipe fracture process and the three-dimensional internal fluid outflow process during rapid propagation of a crack in the steel pipe.

The author and collaborators also established a new technique for evaluating the shape of development (flapping) of a steel pipe in the fracture process, using dynamic measurements of the change in peripheral flexural strain during crack propagation. An example of a measurement result obtained by that technique is shown in **Fig. 7**. The new evaluation technique has made it possible to directly measure, in the order of milliseconds, the cross-section deformation behavior of a steel pipe (the flattening of the pipe immediately before arrival of the crack, and changes in pipe shape after arrival of the crack) in the fracture process.

By applying computational dynamics for this pipe fracture phenomenon, the HLP Committee of the Iron and Steel Institute of Japan developed a nonlinear stress analysis procedure that dynamically couples the decompression behavior of an internal fluid and the three-dimensional deformation behavior of a steel pipe in accordance with fracture criteria. **Figure 8** shows an example of a three-dimensional interactive simulation of the dynamic ductile fracture. Development of this new simulation technique has enabled a detailed analysis of stress distribution and plastic strain distribution around a crack tip during the fracture process, as well as a detailed analysis of changes in fracture mechanics parameters, such as the crack tip opening angle and dynamic stress intensity factor ($K_d$).

It is expected that this technique for evaluating the three-dimensional fracture process will help clearly identify the details involved in steel pipe fracture mechanisms, through the accumulation of relevant measurements and analysis data.

**9. Conclusion**

On the basis of knowledge obtained from their original crack propagation simulation techniques, the author and collaborators conducted a full-scale burst test of X100 steel pipe using a flat-type toughness arrangement. For the first time in the world, a short-distance crack arrest was clearly observed at both ends of the pipe. Thus, it was confirmed that X100 steel pipe has sufficient crack arrestability. It is expected that the above-mentioned test results will greatly promote the use of ultrahigh-strength steel pipe for trunk pipelines.

With respect to the phenomenon of dynamic ductile fracture, the author and collaborators developed new techniques for evaluating the three-dimensional fracture process, including (1) a system for directly observing the fracture process, (2) techniques for evaluating the changing shape of a steel pipe during the fracture process, and (3) technology for three-dimensional interactive simulations. These evaluation techniques are expected to help explain in detail steel pipe fracture mechanisms, through the accumulation of relevant measurements and analysis data.
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